

**IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL  
DELHI BENCH 'E': NEW DELHI  
(Through Video Conferencing)**

**BEFORE,  
SHRI SUDHANSHU SRIVASTAVA, JUDICIAL MEMBER  
AND  
SHRI A.N.MISSHRA, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER**

**ITA No.5688/Del/2016  
(ASSESSMENT YEAR 2010-11)**

Dy. CIT, Central Circle-I, Faridabad.	Vs.	M/s Mapsko Builder Pvt. Ltd., 52, North Avenue, Punjabi Bagh, West North Avenue, New Delhi.  PAN -AADCM 5296L
<b>(Appellant)</b>		<b>(Respondent)</b>

Appellant By	<b>Sh. Rakhi Vimal, Sr. DR</b>
Respondent by	<b>Sh. Sh.Parichay Solanky, CA</b>
Date of Hearing	<b>18.03.2021</b>
Date of Pronouncement	<b>18.03.2021</b>

**ORDER**

**PER SUDHANSHU SRIVASTAVA, JM:**

This appeal is preferred by the Revenue against order dated 31.08.2016 passed by the Learned Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals)-3, Gurgaon {CIT(A)} for Assessment Year 2010-11.

2.0 The Ld. Authorized Representative (AR) submits that the assessee has opted to settle the dispute relating to the tax arrears for the assessment year under consideration under The Direct Tax Vivad se Vishwas Act, 2020. It has been stated that the necessary declaration in accordance with Section 4 of The Direct Tax Vivad se Vishwas Act, 2020 has been filed by the assessee and that Form-III has also been received.

3.0 Considering the aforesaid situation, the captioned appeal is consigned to the records and treated as dismissed.

4.0 In view of the aforesaid, the appeal is consigned to the records and, for statistical purposes, is treated as dismissed.

Above decision was announced on conclusion of Virtual Hearing on 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2021.

Sd/-  
**(A.N.MISSHRA)**  
**ACCOUNTANT MEMBER**  
Dated: 18/03/2021  
PK/PS

Sd/-  
**(SUDHANSHU SRIVASTAVA)**  
**JUDICIAL MEMBER**

Copy forwarded to:

1. Appellant
2. Respondent
3. CIT
4. CIT(Appeals)
5. DR: ITAT

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR  
ITAT NEW DELHI